

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97856
Title of the Manuscript:	Parameters controlling the uranium mineralization in the Ingall sector, Tim Mersoï basin (Northern Niger)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is important for scientific community. It has outline the parameters that are of concern in determining the mineralization of an area for mining activities. The parameters and the various instrument required for drilling boreholes were also highlighted. How to read and interpret the parameters were given and how to determine the quality of uranium at Ingall sector of Niger,</p> <p>The title is suitable. The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections are quite appropriate.</p> <p>To little understanding of the manuscript, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Some of the references are quite old, but yet relevant to the study, but the punctuation And arrangement of the references should be checked and corrected to conform to the standard of the journal guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is good and suitable for a scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dodo Juliet Dingtsen
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria