

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_98251
Title of the Manuscript:	BEHIND THE UNIFORM: POLICE VIOLENCE AND ABUSE BEFORE ENDSARS PROTESTS IN NIGERIA (1999-2020)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript important for social scientists. The article is very apt especially for scholars in social studies and criminology as well as security studies. 2. Readers outside Nigeria may not be conversant with EndSARS as referenced in the title, explain this in the title or elsewhere in the paper. 3. The abstract is is quite descriptive, however I recommend to use of the phrase Desk research instead of library research. Desk research is more exhaustive as it makes reference to the materials published in reports and similar documents that are available in public libraries, websites, data obtained from surveys already carried out, etc. Some organizations also store data that can be used for research purposes. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate, however, I would include a small section on EndSARS as the paper is hinged on it. 5. The article is scientifically correct 6. The references are very rich and well formatted. One work cited, Igbo, E. U. M. (2017). The Use and Abuse of Police Powers and Extrajudicial Killings in Nigeria. African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies. Vol. 10(1): pp 83-99. It seems to me the work greatly informed the current study. This work is only cited once in passing at page 5. There is need to link this work to the current study hence a review of related literature is advised for this excellent work.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The English is scholarly and top-notch, well done	
Optional/General comments	Not applicable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Odock Kizito
Department, University & Country	Alupe University, Kenya