

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_98251
Title of the Manuscript:	BEHIND THE UNIFORM: POLICE VIOLENCE AND ABUSE BEFORE ENDSARS PROTESTS IN NIGERIA (1999-2020)
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes. Many issues related to law and order discussed in this manuscript for further research and present study.</b>  <b>Yes.</b>  <b>Yes. The abstract comprehensively discussed the article.</b>  <b>Yes. All sub sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate for this article.</b>  <b>Yes. This manuscript is scientifically correct and proved,</b>  <b>All the references used in this article is sufficient and recent.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used for this article is scholarly suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandra Shekhar Ghanta
Department, University & Country	Telangana University, India