

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCS_98720 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of organic mulch and mycorrhizal inoculation on growth and yield of tomato plants |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the Effect of organic mulch and mycorrhizal inoculation on the growth and yield of tomato plants</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is divided into appropriate subsections.</p> <p>Yes, The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient.</p> | After minor revision of the manuscript, the manuscript will be accepted for publication, |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language quality is suitable for publication. The parts highlighted in red in the paper need to be reframed so that they are more coherent. I have also suggested some grammatical corrections in red colour. | |
| Optional/General comments | Edited manuscript suitable for publication | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Kamal Prasad |
| Department, University & Country | Xenesis Institute, India |