

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99157
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Krishi Vigyan Kendra in Changing the Annual Income of the Farmers in Barwani District of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript focuses to study the impact of Krishi Vigyan Kendra in changing the Annual Income of the Farmers in Barwani District of Madhya Pradesh. It surely adds to new information and aspects in terms of research. 2. Yes, the title is apt. 3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the sub-section and structure are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is research based. 6. Add more references to the manuscript. 7. incorporate research design of the study and briefly explain why the specific design was used. 8. elaborate whether the pretested interview schedule is self-prepared or standardized, if standardized mention the name of the author and year. Also mention which variables will be studied through the interview schedule. 9. Incorporate the statistical technique used for the data analysis. 10. Elaborate the eleven variables in the research design. 11. incorporate the implications of the study.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Incorporated the grammatical corrections as suggested in the reviewed manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meenakshi
Department, University & Country	IGNOU, India