

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_98985
Title of the Manuscript:	Petrography and geochemical signatures of pegmatites from the southeastern part Comoé basin (south-east Côte d'Ivoire, north Alépé)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. This manuscript is very useful for the scientis, students who will worki in this area of research. No. some modifications are required. Yes, but some more important explanation is needed. Yes, but need to be update again. Yes. References are sufficient but recent references are to be include in the list. References ashould be written as per journal standard.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but some more updates/modifications are required..	
Optional/General comments	1. Figure 1 is not visible properly. Figure legends are readable. 2. Figure 4 is not visible properly, need to be update. 3. References are not written as per standard of journal, need to be revise.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhijit M. Zende
Department, University & Country	Dr. Daulatrao Aher College of Engineering, India