

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_99972</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Soil Quality of Selected Districts of Kaleshwaram Project Command Area of Telangana State</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The article submitted for peer review is certainly interesting, but I do not consider the article to be an original research article. Most likely, in my opinion, the presented article is purely informative, as it is an analysis of previously published studies.  The title of the article suitable  The abstract of the article comprehensive  Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate  I think the manuscript is scientifically correct  The references sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	I believe that the article submitted for review needs significant revision, which should be to justify the author's developments, which made it possible to obtain new previously unpublished materials. When finalizing the article, it is also necessary to remove references numbered 7, 15 and 16 from the list of used literary sources	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yakov G. Verkhivker</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odessa National University of Technology, Ukraine</b>