

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJAEES _99900
Title of the Manuscript:	MARKETING EFFICIENCY AND MARKETING CONSTRAINTS OF DIFFERENT EGG MARKETING CHANNELS IN EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, I believe it is a very good paper Yes, with the content given Yes, but should include the sampling method used to get the target population as well as add recommendation Yes Yes, if all the correction are done Not enough references given. At least 10 references should be cited. Also some references cited are not in the reference while some references are not in the citation. According to the authors guide, citations in the text should numbered instead of names and year given. That needs to be corrected	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is need for some grammatical check	
Optional/General comments	Recommended for publication if all comments are addressed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lamin K M Fatty
Department, University & Country	University of The Gambia, The Gambia