

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99435
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES FACED BY THE PADDY FARMERS IN PRODUCTION AND MARKETING DURING COVID-19 REGIME IN ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes. Since rice remains one of the important staples of Indian population and Covid did have an impact over agriculture sector, farmers have a huge set back especially towards the production and marketing sides. So, the present study will be helpful to formulate programmes so as to help farmers. 2. CHALLENGES FACED BY THE PADDY FARMERS DURING COVID-19 REGIME IN ANDHRA PRADESH. (Title can be shortened like this) 3. Yes. 4. Yes 5. Yes. But could be enriched by adding more valid points in results and discussion part. 6. Yes. But correct reference format needs to be followed and incomplete references need to addressed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical mistakes need to be addressed and capital cases need to avoided in inappropriate places.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja Krishna J
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India