

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_98819
Title of the Manuscript:	The Gambia and The right to Traditional, complementary, and alternative health care: A Legal Commentary
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, because it brings us information about the constitution of the country of Ghana, based on health, how assistance works in the country. The title is very explanatory and cohesive. The abstract is of good understanding Formatting is needed in topics and body text It would need the bases related to scientific methodology, the article is good, an important subject but a lot of narrative without the author's opinion. They are good references and some recent, others old as it is an article based on legislation.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is comprehensive and clear. The english is of quality	
Optional/General comments	1- Insert the objective of the article 2- It is suggested to report in a few words what health care in the country is like in practice. 3- In the references format and put the DOI in some articles found.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mônica Barbosa de Sousa Freitas
Department, University & Country	Florida Christian University, USA