

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_99706
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF MOTHER GODDESS WORSHIP IN SPIRITUAL CULTURE LIFE OF PEOPLE IN LAM DONG PROVINCE – VIET NAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The paper brings up an important religio-cultural issue that adds up the building of the indigenous lives of a population in Vietnam. 2. Thematically the title is okay but it lacks grammatical and punctuational accuracy. It could be replaced by "The Role of Mother Goddess Worship in the Spiritual and Cultural Life of People in Lam Dong Province, Vietnam" 3. Moderately. If a bit detail of the data and data analysis and a key finding are included, it will look fair enough. 4. Unfortunately, no. Although the paper is okay with the Abstract and a well-crafted introduction, the following parts are then jumbled. I think the paper should be sectioned into Literature Review, Methods / Methodology, Analysis / Discussion. The paper has a Conclusion though, which is quite good. 5. Not absolutely. However, it could be further developed. 6. The references are not properly styled in the APA format. It needs editing. The paper needs an overall language check.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Saiful Alam
Department, University & Country	World University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh