

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_99706
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF MOTHER GODDESS WORSHIP IN SPIRITUAL CULTURE LIFE OF PEOPLE IN LAM DONG PROVINCE – VIET NAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes, it is 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes, suitable but a little adjustments suggest 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Ok 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Ok (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Interesting article. Well written too. But there are minor corrections indicated on the manuscript. Note the corrections and see to it that the conclusion of the article is expanded. There seems to be no quotation within the text that is set off the work. But check thoroughly to see if none is to be set off the text actually. Check generally other dimensions of the citation within the text, and, of course, the section called "references" to see to it that every entry aligns with the "style sheet guide" of documentation you are following.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, suitable		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrew Bula
Department, University & Country	Baze University, Nigeria