

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMCS_99620 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Research on Equation $\varphi(x) + 2 = \varphi(x + 2)$ |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Abstract is not in a concise manner. Rewrite the abstract.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Add more references.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes.</p> <p>1. Include two more suitable keywords.</p> <p>2. Conclusion is very important for every article. Must include conclusion part.</p> <p>3. Paper is not written in a proper way. There is lot of typographical error in the manuscript.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>1. Title is appropriate.</p> <p>2. Proofs are written correctly.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | S. Vidhya |
| Department, University & Country | Cauvery College for Women, India |