

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IAARJ_99244 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Origin of Wave-particle Duality |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaari.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community. The title of the article is suitable. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. But I suggest to write the section "Conclusion" more briefly. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are recent but not sufficient. So, I suggest to write more references (atleast 10). | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name: | Pameli Saha |
| Department, University & Country | Brainware University, India |