

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_99186
Title of the Manuscript:	Regulation of Traditional and Alternative Medicines: The Case of the Gambia.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article is reviewed where some corrections and suggestions are made to improve the scientific quality of the research and its possible publication, taking into account the code of ethics and the greatest respect for the authors. In the introduction it is important to follow a narrative order from the general to the particular, where a comprehensive approach to the problem is made at a global, regional and finally local level. I suggest comparing this research with other similar previous or parallel studies both in the country and in other countries. In the text there is a redundancy of terms that affect the agreement of the work. Regardless of government resolutions, the article reference is outdated. It is important to mention the criteria of the authors regarding the use of traditional medicine not proven in studies or trials, also about how it is higher in countries with difficult access to Western medicines 	
Minor REVISION comment 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	nothing to point out	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Angel Amaró Garrido
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Sancti Spiritus, Cuba