

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_97586
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between Body Mass Index and Lumbar Disc Herniation In UKI General Hospital Jakarta
Type of the Article	Retrospective study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this study. Although the study was reported very objectively, it was not very well conducted. Please see below for some important points which if carefully addressed would improve the quality of the work:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The reasons for conducting this study were not stated. Do we know if is at least possible to do this study (justification)? <p>Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Do we know the inclusion (diagnostic criteria for the NPH) and exclusion criteria of the study? Was the study approved by the local research ethics committee? Can you separate the data analysis section from the rest of the methods? <p>Results and discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please separate results and discussion so that readers can understand what your findings are and what you are discussion about. What is the limitation of the study? Does this study has any significance? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Musa Sani Danazumi
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Centre, Nigeria