

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_99254
Title of the Manuscript:	A HYBRIDIZED FEATURE EXTRACTION MODEL FOR YORUBA DOCUMENT RECOGNITION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript presents a new approach for digitalizing handwritten or printed Yoruba document Yes, the title is suitable Yes, the abstract is clear and comprehensive Yes, subsections are appropriate and clear Yes, it is scientifically correct Yes, the references are sufficient I suggest to better clarify the parameters used in the various equations. Furthermore, the tables in the last pages must be verified (for example in the text is reported Specificity (SEN), Sensitivity (SPE), but probably there's an error. Specificity should be (SPE) and Sensitivity should be (SEN). Moreover, figures in the last pages report the y axis with no explanation. It must be specified the measure unit used.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrea Piroddi
Department, University & Country	Università di Bologna, Italy