

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_100040
Title of the Manuscript:	Parental awareness about medical comorbidities and their recommended evaluations in children with Down Syndrome: A pilot survey.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No. The discussion should be such that it should compare the results to other studies, and explanation for differences if any. The current discussion is just an extension of results, and the major part can be in the introduction only.</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Needs some corrections. Font used and the font size, paragraphs, line spacing, justification (aligning to left and right margins) should be done as per Journal Policy. And should be uniformly applied throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Grammar Corrections needed at more than 300 places. (Active and passive voice, past and present tense, appropriate use of articles, comma, upper and lower case, sentence rewriting and rephrasing etc). Authors may please consult grammar expert or platforms like grammar checking software</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>"In our survey 91% of the children were born to mothers who were less than 35 years and only 9% were above 35 years of age". This cannot be a part of the discussion as this is a pilot study and the sample population is not representative of the general population.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amol Kalyanrao Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government Medical College, India</b>