

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_99792
Title of the Manuscript:	Occupational and Environmental Exposures in Interstitial Lung Abnormalities: A Cross-Sectional Study in Dhaka City, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes  Yes <b>Need to rewrite.</b>  Yes  <b>Raw data is missing in the manuscript so cannot answer.</b>  No  The collected raw data (the authors have collected) should be presented in either tabular form or graphically in a suitable way. <b>(Raw data is missing in the text)</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Relatively	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Add another half page to the introduction part with recent literature survey and suggested to go through the manuscript again.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetric Rout
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University, India