

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_99585
Title of the Manuscript:	ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTING PRACTICE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF LISTED AVIATION FIRMS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is known fact that carbon emission affects environment; aircraft emits carbon due to fuel consumption. Fuel consumption affects the industry negatively hence to prove this mathematically is not so important though a good logical process. Suitable Needs rehatching.Improve punctuation e.g., 'however in first line should have a comma. An ex-post-facto research design should have been briefly defined/described. Abreviation OLS should have been eplained etc. Appropriate Somehow correct Refrences sufficient and correct Repetitions in the introduction should be reduced	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Just OK	
Optional/General comments	canbe published after mentioned modifications	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dalvinder Singh Grewal
Department, University & Country	Desh Bhagat University, India