

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_99362
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MULCH MATERIALS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET PEPPER UNDER NET HOUSE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript will be scientifically correct if the author integrates the various remarks of the reviewers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A total of 26 references were chosen</li> <li>- The author must take care that these references presented here are only the references of the authors cited throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>- Of the total of 26 references cited, only 03 references have a publication date less than the year 2000.</li> </ul> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The intro is a little too long.</li> <li>- The problem of this study was not clearly specified.</li> <li>- The objective of this study was not announced in the introduction.</li> </ul> <p><b>MATERIAL AND METHODS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Figures and tables must be presented close to their descriptive text.</li> <li>- A few photos showing the studied plant must be added to the text.</li> </ul> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The results presented have not been discussed by the author.</li> <li>- As a reminder, during a discussion of the results obtained, the author is first required to comment or explain the results obtained. Then, they must be compared with results from similar work carried out by certain authors.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koudegnan Comlan Mawussi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lomé, Togo</b>