

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_99362
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MULCH MATERIALS AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF SWEET PEPPER UNDER NET HOUSE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript shows relevant data for the development of the area. The manuscript is organized according to the guide for authors.</p> <p><b>Observations</b></p> <p>(i) The use of the bibliographic reference number instead of the names of the authors when referring to their research is not adequate for the reader to follow the wording.</p> <p><b>Body of the Manuscript</b> <i>For example</i> <b>Page 2</b> <b>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> <b>3.1 Plant height (cm)</b> <i>Line 5</i> (DAT) i.e., 45, 65, 85DAT and at final harvest. [13] who reported that the application of organic mulch compared to the control resulted in increased plant height, canopy size and stem diameter in the dry</p> <p>(ii) The data indicated in the paragraph for the maximum length of fruit do not agree with what is shown in Table 4 <b>Page 5</b> <b>3.5 Length of fruit</b> <i>Lines 1 to 7</i> (iii) Add a blank space between words. <b>Page 4</b> <b>3.3 Number of flowers per plant</b> <i>Line 12 and 13</i> flowersper plant of sweet pepper (36.13) was observed from M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (rice straw mulch + B @ 0.6% as H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) treatment combination. On the other hand, the minimum number of flowersper plant of sweet flowers per plant of sweet pepper (36.13) was observed from M<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (rice straw mulch + B @ 0.6% as H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) treatment combination. On the other hand, the minimum number of flowers per plant of sweet</p> <p>(iv) Three cited references are not found in the body of the manuscript. <i>References 21,22 and 24.</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina B. Colloca
Department, University & Country	Instituto de Desarrollo Económico e Innovación, Universidad Nacional de Tierra del Fuego, Argentina