

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99561
Title of the Manuscript:	Higher Education, Placement, Employment and Earning Contours of Farm graduates during the Pre and Post COVID 19 Regimes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community. 2. Yes, the title of the article suitable, especially in this era. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. 5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, I think that the references sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	There are a few grammatical errors (spacing), but the article is well-written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharon Campbell-Phillips
Department, University & Country	Atlantic International University, Trinidad and Tobago