

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99561
Title of the Manuscript:	Higher Education, Placement, Employment and Earning Contours of Farm graduates during the Pre and Post COVID 19 Regimes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. During COVID-19, global lockdowns affected higher education institutions as they rapidly transitioned to online platforms. The economic recession provoked by the COVID-19 crisis has already produced widespread unemployment. Hence, the manuscript is scientifically apt for this generation. 2. The title itself is like an essay. Curtail it and make it more fascinating. Eg: 'Higher Education-Employment -COVID-19-A Study' 3. The abstract seems to be an article with 371 words along with 3 key words divided into three paragraphs. It would be appropriate to mention objectives, methodology and major findings of the study. Moreover, it is repetitive. 4. Under methodology the validity and reliability of the instrument was not discussed. The Review of literature whatever is available should have been incorporated. 5. The manuscript should be made more scientific by presenting discussions more elaborately. 6. The references are very few (06) and all references were related to 2020. No appropriate citation was followed. 7. The conclusion is found to be a replica of results, better present some implications, recommendations and suggestions.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Either write it as percent or state in %, linguistic fallacies are umpteen. 2. COVID-19 is an abbreviation sometimes it is used as covid, pl take care of it. 3. The linker HOWEVER was used six times without any comma.	
Optional/General comments	A five page research article may not be too small, better expand it to seven pages at least	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's PG College, India