

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99561
Title of the Manuscript:	Higher Education, Placement, Employment and Earning Contours of Farm graduates during the Pre and Post COVID 19 Regimes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community.  2. <b>The title of the article though suitable needs to be rephrased.</b>  3. <b>His/her</b> abstract of the article is comprehensive.  4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b>  5. <b>It is scientifically correct as an article.</b>  6. <b>References are sufficient and recent.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of English is quite okay since I used the Grammarly App. to do some corrections. See details comments above.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Though the manuscript is quite okay efforts should be made to updateTh and add more relevant reviewed literature Arthur of the article should see comments made in the manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jacob Aaworb-Nang Maabobr Kor
Department, University & Country	College for Community and Organisational Development, Ghana