

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_98814
Title of the Manuscript:	The Legislative and regulatory framework governing Traditional and Alternative Medicine Practice in the Gambia: A Commentary
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is incomplete and need minor revision. To be complete, author(s) should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Avoid talking about yourself in the Abstract.</li> <li>2. It is not enough to refer to the Act, it is necessary to describe what the provision of a concrete medical service looks like in the Gambia</li> <li>3. What is aim of the "Methods"? That part of the manuscript doesn't say what it should.</li> <li>4. The section of the manuscript entitled "Findings" should be expanded in such a way as to separate the short description of the modern medical service and the short description of the traditional and alternative medicine service.</li> <li>5. In "Conclusion/Recommendations" is not clear what is Conclusion and what is Recommendations. That part of the manuscript should be written as new paragraphs, that is, as "Conclusion" and as "Recommendations".</li> <li>6. Fix grammar errors.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Siniša Franjić</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Croatia</b>