

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_99276
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL EVALUATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF GREEN SYNTHESIZED SILVER NANOPARTICLES USING Annona muricata l. leaf Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, where the researcher has described the microbial study of AgNP Nanoparticles	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Scientifically the manuscript is on the pathway. However the strong rational behind to select the AgNP Nanoparticles is lacking. To be define more specific on this topic.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	No, one of the relevant book "Recent Trends in Science and Technology-2020 (ISBN: 9788192952154)" and its chapter "Medicinal properties of Annona muricata Ex"tracts in various disease" is missing(DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.13491129)	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narsinh M. Dodia
Department, University & Country	Saurashtra University, India