

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_99168
Title of the Manuscript:	The Associations between Autistic and ADHD Traits and Well-being of Secondary School Students
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>I appreciate the effort of the author(s) in completing this research. The topic is relevant, interesting, and beneficial to the fields of education, autism, and ADHD. This manuscript is well organized and professionally written. It is my belief that this manuscript should be considered for publication in the Journal of Education, Society, and Behavioural Science.</p> <p>This manuscript is well structured and contains a very good balance of descriptive statistics and analyses. The analyses are appropriate and well- executed. It is this reviewer's belief that the title of this manuscript is appropriate. The Abstract is comprehensive. The subsections are appropriate and the references are current. However, some very minor revisions are suggested.</p> <p>This manuscript, in its current form, contains different font sizes throughout. The manuscript should be typed in Times New Roman 12 font size.</p> <p>Again, thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. This reviewer believes that with the proper font size revisions, this manuscript should be considered for publication."</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher William Tarr
Department, University & Country	Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania, USA