

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_99379</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Water Quality Assessment of Nigerian Port Authority Waterway In Port-Harcourt, Rivers State.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This is a very interesting manuscript that gives us great information's about the analysis and the water quality of an African country. The title "Water Quality Assessment of Nigerian Port Authority Waterway In Port-Harcourt, Rivers State." is relevant and much with the mentioned findings works. The manuscript needs some changes to be reconsidered for another review. The following are some remarks regarding this work:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I put a hard work in order to understand this work because the language used. Please try to use clear and good English.</li> <li>2. The abstract is too long and need more experimental results.</li> <li>3. In the abstract "The samples were then analyzed for physiochemical parameters, heavy metal, and microbiological parameters" while in the entire manuscript there's no citation of the microbiological parameters.</li> <li>4. Also, In RESULTS AND DISCUSSION try to compare your results with other finding.</li> <li>5. In "3.3 Relationship between the Physiochemical Parameters" the Person correlation is not presented in table 1, maybe table 2! Also the table 2 must be modified because it's not clear. I suggest group them the to part in the same table.</li> <li>6. Please added the methods used for water analysis in the materials and methods.</li> <li>7. The standard deviation must have their units to be scientifically correct.</li> <li>8. Added abbreviations for the cited terms BUA, PTOL ...</li> <li>9. Also, use the first letter in capital Total suspended solids (TSS),. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>)</li> <li>10. Please add updated references because the most recent one cited is in 2019.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mounir Belbahloul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hassan First University, Morocco</b>