

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99631
Title of the Manuscript:	Microsatellite Instability and P53 Aberrant Expression in Gastric Adenocarcinoma: Immunohistochemical Assessment and Correlation with Clinicopathological Characteristics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, a very good and informative article. Written well</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Microsatellite instable GC- can be better written as gastric carcinomas with microsatellite instability • 70 instances- can be written as 70 cases • regardless of cytoplasmic • Reframe: Strong diffuse nuclear staining in more than 90 percent of tumor cells or total lack of P53- Aberrant and Wild type includes..... <p>Interpretation of P53 immunostaining:</p> <p>Strong diffuse nuclear staining in more than 90 percent of tumor cells was the definition given for aberrant expression of P53, while the total lack of P53 expression in all tumor cells was the alternative; P53 expression was categorized as wild type [5]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MMR-defective tumors-Same terminology to be used throughout, at places MMR deficient, at places MMR defective • microsatellite unstable gastric carcinomas – gastric carcinomas with microsatellite instability • as aberrant expression of p53 otherwise; p53 expression was categorized as wild type as reported by Bosch et al. [5]. Semicolon before otherwise 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janice Jaison
Department, University & Country	MIMER Medical College, Dr BSTR Hospital, India