

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_99360 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Molecular Detection of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Gene in Klebsiella Species from Clinical Isolates Obtained From Plateau State Specialist and Jos University Teaching Hospital |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>Yes, it is a better study and helpfull to scientific community.</p> <p>Title is clear enough to tell about the kind of study.</p> <p>It is comprehensive and little more can be comprehended.</p> <p>Yes they are appropriate. Yes it is scientifically performed and written</p> <p>References are not sufficient and it would be good if recent past article articles are referred and discussed in discussion part so it would be more appropriate.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | yes | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Nagappa Guttiganur |
| Department, University & Country | R.G.U.H.S Health Sciences, A.M.E S Dental College, India |