

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_98966
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SYNTHESIS OF VINYL ESTERS OF FRUIT ACIDS UNDER HIGH PRESSURE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This article outlines a procedure for creating vinyl esters using the fruit acids malic, tartaric, citric, and acetylene. It also includes the findings of a spectrophotometric examination of the compounds that were created.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not at all</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract of the article needs to be re-written.</li> <li>No citations of references in the body of the text!</li> <li>The paper is not in its standard form or structure.</li> <li>Nothing is clear in any section of the research paper. Read few standard research papers and then form a base outline of your paper.</li> <li>Results and Discussions are very poor.</li> <li>Overall there is a need to rigorously re-work on the entire paper.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaitanya Vijaykumar Mahamuni
Department, University & Country	University of Mumbai, India