

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99476
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Profile of Tuberculosis Patients in a Rural Teaching Hospital in South-South Nigeria: A Ten-Year Retrospective Review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript has dealt with an area of significant to population's health. Thus it is important to scientific community The topic is considered suitable The abstract is ok except for the concern I have about the term Prevalence as used in the manuscript (explained below) Yes Yes Yes The author's use of the concept "prevalence" was not correct, going by what the definition of prevalence is. The initial total sample represented patients who were suspected to have had TB; they were originally patients and not just exposed population. This is a comparison among patients who met the set standard case definition for TB and after further investigation, they were found not to have TB but other clinical conditions There is need to use another concept e.g. Case confirmation rate, Case rate, or positivity rate. At best what was done could be termed <u>Case confirmation rate</u>. In the study, the denominator was not a mixed of exposed and non-exposed population (or healthy and non-healthy population) with reference to TB as disease of concern Another OPTION is to add the suffix "TB Prevalence among TB suspected population. Leaving it just as Prevalence of TB will be highly misleading to the scientific and reading audience</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Good study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel O. Ayeni
Department, University & Country	Tanzania