

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99539
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF VARIOUS ORGANIC AMENDMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF MUNG BEAN (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. The farm experimental results are useful for integrated nutrient management through organic amendments for mung bean grown during spring season. ok needs improvement appropriate but needs minor revisions adequate The article is of current interest on organic amendments and its effect on mung bean. It is a field experimental studies under lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab during Spring season of 2022.the experimental design is ok and results are up to the mark. It is simply RBD .The results are OK. There are some minor revisions required prior to publish this article. The suggestiones are given for its improvement .	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate	
Optional/General comments	Monotonous sentences. No where, the Table values are given in results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. P. Bhaskar
Department, University & Country	ICAR, India