

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_99467 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Studies on variations in physico-chemical, biochemical and biological characteristics at different maturity stages of segregated and unsegregated urban solid waste of drum compost |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. This is important, because the problem of solid waste is the biggest challenge in dealing with environmental pollution 2. The title is appropriate but the results have not been explained segregated and unsegregated urban solid waste of drum compost 3. Incomplete, the method is not well described 4. Already appropriate 5. It is correct based on the Figures of the stages of research implementation 6. References are sufficient but not up to date | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language quality is sufficient | |
| Optional/General comments | The research conducted is very important as a reference in handling solid waste, however, it needs to be supported by the latest literature/references | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Naharuddin |
| Department, University & Country | Tadulako University, Indonesia |