

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_98533
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Entomopathogenic Fungi for the Biocontrol of Sucking Insect Pest: Pertaining to Red Spider Mites ( <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The answer for all points is Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The manuscript is well written with some minor corrections.</li><li>2. The references should be arranged alphabetically not numbered.</li><li>3. et al. in the text should be italic <i>et al.</i></li><li>4. Both Van, 2007 in page 2 and Van <i>et al</i> 2007 should be changed to Vu <i>et al</i> 2008</li><li>5. Seiedy et al. (2015) in page 5 is not cited in the references section.</li><li>6. The references 26 and 27 are the same but Reference 26 should be deleted since it is written wrong.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Abdel-Aziz Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Biotechnology Research Institute, National Research Center, Egypt