

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_99302
Title of the Manuscript:	Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Communities Associated with Dominant Tree Species in a Subarctic Limestone Area
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, as it researched about Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Communities Associated with Dominant Tree Species in a Subarctic Limestone Area	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Very comprehensive	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes it is	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Interesting article indeed	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	GP Hadebe
Department, University & Country	CUT, South Africa