

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99609
Title of the Manuscript:	Job Satisfaction in Transitions into Self-employment: Subjective Evaluations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	(Yes). The utility of self-employment over "a job" could inform individual decisions and have schools provide more skill training to allow individuals to become self-employed. Hence, the information provided could inform educational policies on curriculum and school funding. Yes. Yes Yes Yes (Missing research question) Yes. References are improperly formatted for APA 7 style.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not without editing. Help must be provided to allow author to benefit from proper editing.	
Optional/General comments	The article was informative, but the author must use shorter sentences. Additionally, they must seek the aid of professional editors. Grammarly is a helpful editing software.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupert Green
Department, University & Country	Institute for Hands-on Science, Engineering, & Technology, USA