

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100037
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints and Suggestions of the respondents about Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana in East Champaran district of Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes! Good for scientific community concern alleviation of constraints in farming community It is suitable as it deal with the problematic situation to farmers It is good, short and straight to the point of aims and objectives of the study Subsections and sections are correct and meaningful It is!, although revisiting longer sentences to be shorter need attention References are sufficient to the size of the manuscript only numbering can make easy accessing Some sentences have Capital letters were is not needed Some have a comma and And together which need a person to choose between a comma and And.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is perfect and good for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is educational and developmental to the mind of a person	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Makkies David Lengwati
Department, University & Country	South Africa