

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_99695
Title of the Manuscript:	Telemedicine: improving healthcare outcome
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The article is informative and relevant to the present situation . To improve the quality of the article the author/authors are suggested the following suggestions – (1)Arrange the article in the order as – (i)Abstract , (ii)Introduction (background , need and expected outcome) , (iii)Aims and objectives , (iv)Materials (the sources from where the informations were collected and how arranged) , (v)Methods (how the study was conducted) (vi)Observation and result (origin of the concept , patronage , advantages , disadvantages , level of acceptance) (vii)Summary , (viii)Conclusion , (ix)References Pay special attention on the highlighted parts of the attached file to make it grammatically correct .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dilip Kr. Goswami
Department, University & Country	Sri Sri College of Ayurvedic Science and Research Hospital, Sri Sri University, India