

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_99352
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the economic impact of Maize Export to China on Farmers' Income: A Case of Xiengkhuang Province in Laos
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, I think this manuscript is scientifically important. However, I would like to point out the following comments:</p> <p>In the "Abstract" section, the authors write "Random sampling was conducted to obtain household surveys that have benefited from exporting corn to China, and each group received 12 respondents from two districts, for a total of 120." I think the readers would like to know:</p> <p>1.1 How was the sampling carried out? Was it on a voluntary basis? If so, then the sample may suffer from self-selection bias. For example, only the profitable farmers would talk to the researchers so that the results would bias in their favour.</p> <p>1.2 How about the farmers who haven't yet benefited from such export? Perhaps they have bought the capital equipment and started the export program with a lot of start-up costs, but have not yet turned profitable. Their data would significant affect the results in this paper.</p> <p>1.3 Can the authors attach a copy of the survey questionnaire used in the study? Then the readers can judge whether the questions asked in the survey were appropriate for this kind of study.</p> <p>2. I prefer the title: "The Financial Impact of Maize Export to China for Farmers in Xiengkhuang, Laos".</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, to the best of my knowledge.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and up to date.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eric A. L. Li
Department, University & Country	The University of Hong Kong, China