

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98930
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Yava (Barley) based diet in lifestyle disorders w.s.r. to Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Approach and theme of manuscript is significantly important for the scientific community to look after exploring it more. 2. Title is not appropriate in terms of writing and need to be re-write as abbreviations should be prohibited to be used in Title. 3. Abstract content is fine. 4. Use of subsections and overall structure of the manuscript is NOT appropriate. Headings of subsections are not selected as per the article's requirement. There is no requirement to use "Aim and Objective", "Material & Method" and "Result" as subsections. Only use "Introduction", "Conclusion" and "References" as main headings. There is a requirement of increasing the content under Introduction section. 5. There are many scientific mistakes including grammatical errors, fonts, spacing, alignment, and improvement in scientific content. 6. Insufficient references. So, references need to be improved with additional references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Need to improve language and quality content in article writing for better communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogesh Joshi
Department, University & Country	School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, India