

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98930
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Yava (Barley) based diet in lifestyle disorders w.s.r. to Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Role of Yava (Barley) based diet in lifestyle disorders w.s.r. to Diabetes Mellitus" reviewed antidiabetic activities of <i>Yava (Barley) based diet</i> through the literature survey of Ayurvedic texts. The review is interesting and well-written but it is not sufficient. I have the following observation and requests:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Request authors to follow the journal guidelines accordingly. 2) The language is tedious and some expressions are not proper, this manuscript must be polished and revised by a native speaker. 3) Better to include current stats related to diabetes and its expenditure in the introduction. 4) Authors have shown very little evidence. Request Authors to make an extensive survey. Request authors to show more shreds of evidence from scientific articles. 5) Describe the abbreviations within the manuscript. 6) Sections like results, discussion, and conclusion are not self-sufficient and I think authors should rewrite these sections. I will also suggest authors to describe the pro and cons of using of <i>Yava (Barley) based diet</i> for the management of diabetes and also mention any potential side effects. 7) Proper management of references is required. Cite the references properly. Request to cross-check references, whether they have been cited correctly or not. 8) More recent references should be added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bikash Adhikari
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal