

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_99577
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF NICKEL SULPHIDE THIN FILMS PREPARED BY CHEMICAL BATH DEPOSITION METHOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The objective of this work is to measure the bandgap, transmittance, reflectance, absorbance, refractive index and optical conductivity of Nickel sulphide thin films based on the optical characterization, and using chemical bath deposition method on a glass substrate. the title of the article is appropriate. The abstract of the article is coherent. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and is scientifically correct. The references are not sufficient and not recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The author must validate his result of the optical coefficient by his theoretical formula using Tauc formula	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chahid El Hadi
Department, University & Country	Regional Center for Education and Training, Morocco