

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99241
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION OF ENTRANCE SKIN DOSE WITH BODY MASS INDEX OF PATIENT UNDERGOING ROUTING X-RAY EXAMINATION AT FEDERAL TEACHING HOSPITAL GOMBE, NORTH-EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>It's important to the local scientific community.</b>  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>It's appropriate and objective.</b> <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>It's objective. It sums up with clarity the work.</b>  <b>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>Yes. They're structured according to the development of the work.</b> <b>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>Yes. It's pertinent, suitable and from the important public health point of view.</b> <b>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>The references are appropriate and important for context of the work.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The present referred to the ionic absorption of X-rays by patients examined at the federal hospital in Gombe's entry in Nigeria. Authors present the results of the entrance in the skin of x-rays doses and uh correlate the results with the body mass index. The results showed that the amount of entry doses is directly proportional to the body mass index. Besides the results showed that the patient's ESD was compatible with the results presented in Kashan in Iran. They have stated that the ED values obtained were inferior to the reference already established and published.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Frederico Andrade E Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Campinas University, Brazil</b>