

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_99712 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | "Evaluate the growth and yield attributes of chickpea influenced by Biofertilizers and Molybdenum" |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. Title of the manuscript is not appropriate. 'Evaluate the growth and yield attributes.....?' 2. Abstract requires to be edited. Check the title of the experiment mentioned in the abstract and title of the manuscript. 3. Abstract should have the background information and conclusion 4. Introduction section should contain the objective of the study 5. Materials and Methods – Mention the treatments in table form. Source/formulation of Rhizobium, PSB used need to be mentioned in materials and methods. 6. The plant height observations at 80 DAS were mentioned. What about the observations of plant height at early stages of crop growth? 15, 30, 45 DAS??? 7. Results and discussion part looks like the format written in MSc/PhD thesis. Discussion part is not adequate to support the findings of the study. 8. Inclusion of graphical representation of findings may enhance the quality of the manuscript 9. Reference format need to be checked again | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | -- | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Raghunandan B.L. |
| Department, University & Country | Anand Agricultural University, India |