

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99350
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of Sesame (<i>Sesamum indicum</i>)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important scientifically, however there is need to include more details of the methodology, like the time period for the experiment including how much of the treatments was applied and the frequency of applying, whether it was in powder form or liquid. 2. The title is suitable 3. The abstract is comprehensive, the grammar needs to be corrected 4. The subsections are appropriate, the author must add statistical analysis 5. The manuscript is correct 6. The references are too few	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It needs to be polished further and avoid grammar mistakes	
Optional/General comments	With the above corrections made its publishable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nomagugu Ndlovu
Department, University & Country	Midlands State University, Zimbabwe