

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100024
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different concentrations of IBA, Seaweed Extract and Phloroglucinol on air layering in Kinnow
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	No ABRIVATIONS alone can't be used in title.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Reference are not sufficient additional latest references should be added and how this paper is different from existing literature should be elobrated in discussion.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	In introduction papers using only IBA or Seaweed Extract or Phloroglucinol should be mentioned if used what are the benefits of combining all these 3 should be elobrated in discussion and how using any 1 of this or 2 of this is disadvantage over all the 3 should be discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments	Ok	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Based on my suggestion if paper is improved would add advantage. If the composition of seaweeds would have been analysed would have added value.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narendra K
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India