

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_99617
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF SPINAL DUMBELL NEUROFIBROMATOSIS. A CASE REPORT FROM A SPECIALIST HOSPITAL IN PORT HARCOURT.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes, this case study is adding important presentation of a rare case to the medical field. The figures of MRI in this case study can serve as good educational materials to medical students. 2- I would recommend slightly change the title into "THE ROLE OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF SPINAL DUMBELL NEUROFIBROMATOSIS: A CASE REPORT FROM A SPECIALIST HOSPITAL IN PORT HARCOURT". 3- The abstract seems to be comprehensive but each abbreviation should be explained in the abstract. 4- The structure of this case study looks appropriate. 5- This case study looks scientifically appropriate but authors are advised to add some information about management and follow-up plan for this case. Also, authors are advised to include a short paragraph about any previous and similar case studies. 6- The references are sufficient and recent. 7- Authors are advised to delete "Specialist Hospital" from keywords. 8- Authors are advised to mark lesion sites in the figures of this case study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing quality should be improved with more attention to mistakes in punctuations and spaces.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq