

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_99325
Title of the Manuscript:	Stable Atrial Flutter in a Cocaine User: A Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript, "Stable Atrial Flutter in a Cocaine User: A Case Report and Literature Review" is important for the scientific community. It presents a unique case of a patient with stable chronic atrial flutter with a history of chronic cocaine use and heart failure. The article also highlights the link between cocaine use and atrial flutter, which has not been extensively studied. The authors present a comprehensive review of the current literature on the topic.</p> <p>The article's title is suitable and clearly describes the manuscript's content.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides a clear summary of the case report and literature review.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, and the case presentation is clear and well-organized.</p> <p>The scientific content appears to be correct. This well-written manuscript provides important information on the effects of cocaine on the cardiovascular system and highlights the need for a better understanding of this issue in clinical practice.</p> <p>The current set of references provides a solid foundation for understanding the cardiovascular effects of cocaine use.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors have used scholarly and appropriate language.	
Optional/General comments	Spelling errors have been corrected throughout the manuscript (highlighted the same)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sankar K
Department, University & Country	Aarupadai Veedu Medical College and Hospital, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation, India